Mr. J. A. Stall Senior Vice President, Nuclear and Chief Nuclear Officer Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4710 AND MC4711)

Dear Mr. Stall:

By letter dated March 4, 2005, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-3974.

Sincerely, /RA/

Brendan T. Moroney, Project Manager, Section 2 Project Directorate II Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. J. A. Stall Senior Vice President, Nuclear and Chief Nuclear Officer Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

SUBJECT: ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL

INFORMATION (RAI) RELATED TO GENERIC LETTER 2004-02, "POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS" (TAC NOS. MC4710 AND MC4711)

Dear Mr. Stall:

By letter dated March 4, 2005, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for St. Lucie Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested the licensee perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL.

The NRC staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs additional information requested in the enclosure to complete our review.

This RAI requests additional information about your overall plans and schedules and not any information on detailed plans or extensive analyses. In light of this, please provide the additional information requested in the enclosure within 45 days of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call me at (301) 415-3974.

Sincerely, /RA/

Brendan T. Moroney, Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate II
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50-389

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PDII-2 R/F RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter RidsNrrDlpmLpdii2 (MMarshall) RidsNrrPMBMoroney
RidsNrrLADBaxley RidsRgnIIMailCenter DCullison/DSolorio
RidsNrrDlpmDpr RidsNrrDssaDpr MWebb RidsNrrLABClayton

*RAI input from SPLB without any major change

OFFICE	PDIV-1/PM PDIII-2/PM	PDIV-1/LA	DSSA/SPLB/SP	PDII-2/PM	PDIV-1/SC PDIII-2/SC
NAME	MWebb/JHopkins	DBaxley	DCullison/DSolorio*	BMoroney	DTerao/GSuh
DATE	6/2/2005	6/2/05	5/23/05	6/2/05	6-2-05

ST. LUCIE PLANT

Mr. J. A. Stall Florida Power and Light Company

CC:

Senior Resident Inspector St. Lucie Plant U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 6090 Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Craig Fugate, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
Department of Community Affairs
2740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

M. S. Ross, Managing Attorney Florida Power & Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Marjan Mashhadi, Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 220 Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Douglas Anderson County Administrator St. Lucie County 2300 Virginia Avenue Fort Pierce, Florida 34982

Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief Department of Health Bureau of Radiation Control 2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741

Mr. William Jefferson, Jr. Site Vice President St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957-2000 Mr. G. L. Johnston Plant General Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

Mr. Terry Patterson Licensing Manager St. Lucie Nuclear Plant 6351 South Ocean Drive Jensen Beach, Florida 34957

David Moore, Vice President Nuclear Operations Support Florida Power and Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar Vice President - Nuclear Engineering Florida Power & Light Company P.O. Box 14000 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. J. Kammel Radiological Emergency Planning Administrator Department of Public Safety 6000 SE. Tower Drive Stuart, Florida 34997

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

REGARDING GENERIC LETTER 2004-02,

"POTENTIAL IMPACT OF DEBRIS BLOCKAGE ON

EMERGENCY SUMP RECIRCULATION AT PRESSURIZED-WATER REACTORS"

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

ST. LUCIE PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-335 AND 50-389

By letter dated March 4, 2005, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) provided the 90-day response to U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 2004-02 for St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2. The GL requested that addressees perform an evaluation of the emergency core cooling system and containment spray system recirculation functions in light of the information provided in the GL and, if appropriate, take additional actions to ensure system function. Additionally, addressees were requested to submit to the NRC the information specified in the GL. The staff has completed its preliminary review of your response and has determined it needs the following additional information to complete our review:

In your 90-day response to GL 2004-02, you indicated that you intend to use future test results, industry guidance, and NRC guidance to account for chemical precipitants in your evaluation and their availability will impact the schedule for performing an evaluation. The cooperative NRC-Electric Power Research Institute tests in progress at the University of New Mexico are designed to determine if chemical effects occur, but are not designed to measure head loss associated with any chemical effects. The staff notes that some chemical effects have been observed in the initial three tests.

For addressing chemical effects, you state the evaluation may occur after the September 1, 2005, response due date, depending on the schedule for testing and the availability of industry guidance. This is contrary to the information request in GL 2004-02, which requests that chemical effects be addressed in the September 1, 2005, response. This delay is also contrary to the staff's position that there are sufficient bases to address sump vulnerability to chemical effects and that the September response will be incomplete if the evaluation is incomplete, the design is not complete, or there is no schedule for upgrades. In this light, please discuss your plans and schedule for evaluating chemical effects. In addition, please discuss any plans for performing testing to support your evaluation of this effect.